

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	' ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/520,243	05/02/2005	Tsutomu Sanaka	KPO-JMS-P1/SH-72/US	2622
	7590 05/04/2007 HONG FLAHERTY & B	EXAM	EXAMINER	
570 LEXINGTON AVENUE			ISSAC, ROY P	
FLOOR 17 NEW YORK, N	NY 10022-6894		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5.2 ·· - 5.2 ·· - 5.5 ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1623	
				·
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,243	SANAKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roy P. Issac	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/16/05</u>. 	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) I/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/520,243

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a 371 of PCT/JP03/06453, filed 05/23/2003 and claims priority under 35 U.S.C §119 (a)-(d) and 365(c) to foreign application Japan 2002-192177 filed 07/01/2002. A certified copy of foreign priority application in Japanese is received. A translation of the PCT application is received, but not that of the foreign application.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartz et. al. (AU 615553; PTO-1449, dated 3/16/2005).

Art Unit: 1623

Bartz et. al. discloses solutions for intraperitoneal administration comprising taurine. (Page 1, Claim 1). Bartz et. al. further discloses compositions comprising taurine (4.9 g/l), sodium lactate (48 mmol/l), sodium chloride (5.785 g/l = 99 mmol/l), calcium chloride dihydrate (0.2573 g/l = 1.75 mmol/l), magnesium chloride (0.1017 g/l = 0.5 mmol/l) and a pH value of 5.6. (Example 1, Pages 10-11). The osmotic pressure of the solution was disclosed as 500 mosm/l. (Example 1, Page 11). Bartz et. al. further discloses that the solution can have pH in the 5.5 to 6.5 range. (Page 9, Paragraph 4). Taurine (4.9 g/l) falls within the range of 0.01 to 5 w/v% claimed herein. The addition of electrolytes containing sodium, potassium, calcium or magnesium ions is disclosed. (Page 7, last paragraph). Preferred ion concentrations of 125 to 150 mmol/l of sodium ion, 0.5 to 2 mmol/l calcium ion and 0 to 2.5 mmol/l of magnesium ion. Note that mEg/L and mmol/l are the same for monovalent ions such as sodium. For divalent ions, such as calcium and magnesium mEg/l is calculated by dividing mmol/l by two. Note that the recitation, "a peritoneal dialysate" is considered the intended used of the composition. Note that it is well settled that "intended use" of a composition or product, e.g., "peritoneal dialysate", will not further limit claims drawn to a composition or product, so long as the prior art discloses the same composition comprising the same ingredients in an effective amount, as the instantly claimed. See, e.g., Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987) and *In re Hack* 114, USPQ 161. As such, claims 1-3 are deemed anticipated by Bartz et. al.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,243

Art Unit: 1623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartz et. al. (AU 615553; PTO-1449, dated 3/16/2005).

The disclosure of Bartz et. al. is discussed above.

Bartz et. al. does not exemplify a composition within the pH ranges of 6.0 to 7.5 or the particular concentration of 25 to 45 mEq/L of sodium lactate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make peritoneal dialysate composition containing taurine, sodium lactate, sodium ion, calcium ion, magnesium ion, chloride ion and glucose having a pH of 6.0 to 7.5 because Bartz et. al. broadly discloses dialysates for intraperitoneal administration comprising the same ingredients ranges falling within or similar to the compositions claimed herein.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make a compositions containing taurine, sodium lactate, sodium ion, calcium ion, magnesium ion, chloride ion and glucose having a pH of 6.0 to 7.5 because Bartz et. al. broadly discloses dialysates for intraperitoneal administration comprising the same ingredients ranges falling within or similar to the compositions claimed herein. It has been held that it is within the skill in the art to select optimal

Application/Control Number: 10/520,243

Art Unit: 1623

parameters, such as amounts of ingredients, in a composition in order to achieve a beneficial effect. See *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonably expected that the compositions of the instant application would have had substantially similar of better effects.

Thus the claimed invention as a whole is clearly prima facie obvious over the combined teachings of the prior art.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy P. Issac whose telephone number is 571-272-2674. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roy P. Issac Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623 S. Anna Jiang, Ph.D.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1623